EASTERN DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
LARRY THOMPSON,	
Plaintiff, -against-	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET OF DOCUMENT DEMANDS
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, POLICE OFFICER PAGIEL CLARK, Shield # 28472, POLICE OFFICER PAUL MONTEFUSCO, Shield # 10580, POLICE OFFICERS JOHN/JANE DOE(S) #S 1-10,	14-CV-7349 (SLT) (RML)
Defendants.	
X	

Pursuant to Rules 26, 33 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendants City of New York, Clark, and Montefusco, by their attorney, Zachary W. Carter, Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, hereby submit the following objections and responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Document Demands:

GENERAL STATEMENT

- 1. By responding to any request, defendants do not concede the materiality of the subject to which it refers. Defendants' responses are made expressly subject to, and without waiving or intending to waive, any questions, or objections as to the competency, relevancy, materiality, privilege, or admissibility as evidence or for any other purpose, of any of the documents or information produced, or of the subject matter thereof, in any proceeding including the trial of this action or any subsequent proceeding.
- 2. Defendants object to these Document Requests to the extent that it demands documents and/or information which are protected by the attorney-client or work-product privilege, or which constitute material prepared for litigation purposes. Defendants also object in the entirety to any request for documents which is not limited in time.
- 3. Inadvertent production of any document or information which is privileged, was prepared in anticipation of litigation, or is otherwise immune from discovery, shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege or of another ground for objecting to discovery with respect to that document or any other document, or its subject matter, or the information contained therein, or of defendants' right to object to the use of any such document or the information contained therein during any proceeding in this litigation or otherwise.
- 4. Defendants object in the entirety to any request for information or production from entities not represented by the Corporation Counsel of the City of New York.
- 5. Defendants will provide, under separate cover, a privilege log, if applicable and if necessary in order to comply with Rule 26(b)(5) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Civil Rule 26.2(a).
- 6. Defendants are continuing to search for information responsive to plaintiffs' requests and therefore reserve the right to supplement their responses to each request

with additional information, if and when such information becomes available to defendants' counsel. Defendants also reserve the right to object to the future disclosure of any such information.

RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO DOCUMENT DEMANDS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Provide true copies of any regulations, policies, rules, manuals or any other documents which relate in any way to the procedure utilized with respect to forced entry into a home based upon an anonymous complaint.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 1 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, confusing, overbroad, unduly burdensome, assumes facts not true or established, harassing, not sufficiently limited in time or scope, to the extent it seeks information not relevant to the subject matter of this lawsuit nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, to the extent it implicates the law enforcement privilege and official information privilege, and to the extent it implicates the privacy and safety interests of non-parties. Defendants also object to the extent this request calls for the production of documents relating to a purported municipal liability claim on the ground that Plaintiff has failed to state a such a claim for relief and thus any documents or information pertaining to this claim are not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

Provide true copies of any regulations, policies, rules, manuals, or any other documents which relate in any way to the procedures utilized with respect to when a warrant will be applied for in a situation involving an anonymous complaint.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

See Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 1.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Provide true copies of any regulations, polices, rules, manuals, or any other documents which defendants will rely upon at the time of trial.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 3 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, premature, assumes facts not true or established, and to the extent it seeks information not relevant to the subject matter of this lawsuit nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Defendants further object to the extent this request calls for the production of documents relating to a purported municipal liability claim on the ground that Plaintiff has failed to state a such a claim for relief and thus any documents or information pertaining to this claim are not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

Provide a true copy of any document which any employee, agent, servant and/or officer of the defendant The City of New York prepared, reviewed, read, possessed, sent or received which relates in any way to the within plaintiffs and/or the complained of incidents. These copies are to include but not limited to any online booking sheet, any DD5's prepared by Defendant's employees, any memo book entries regarding the within incidents, any property vouchers, and any written statement by any city employee, including but not limited to a criminal court complaint.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 4 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, confusing, overbroad, assumes facts not true or established, unduly burdensome, and harassing. Defendants also object to the extent that it calls for information that is not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Defendants further object to this request to the extent that it calls for information protected by the work product, attorney-client, official information, law enforcement and/or deliberative process privileges. Notwithstanding and without waiving or in any way limiting these objections or the General Objections, Defendants refer Plaintiff to Defendants' Rule 26(a)(1)(A) Initial Disclosures, dated May 12, 2015, and to the documents annexed hereto bearing Bates Stamp No. DEF25-DEF28.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

Provide true copies of any police logs, memos, letters, e-mails, phone and computer logs or any other documents which state everything said by Plaintiff to any employee, agent, servant and/or officer of the defendant The City of New York relating in any way to the complained of incident.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 5 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, confusing, overbroad, assumes facts not true or established, unduly burdensome, harassing, and duplicative. Defendants also object to the extent that it calls for information that is not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Defendants further object to this request to the extent that it calls for information protected by the work product, attorney client, official information, law enforcement and/or deliberative process privileges. Notwithstanding and without waiving or in any way limiting these objections or the

General Objections, Defendants refer Plaintiff to Defendants' Rule 26(a)(1)(A) Initial Disclosures, dated May 12, 2015, and to the documents annexed hereto bearing Bates Stamp No. DEF25-DEF28.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:1

Provide true copies of any police logs, memos, letters, e-mails, phone and computer logs or any other documents which state everything that Plaintiff did at the time and place of the incident.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

See Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 5.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

Provide true copies of any and all computer generated documents which were produced by The City of New York regarding the incident.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

See Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 5.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

Provide true copies of any police logs, memos, letters, e-mails, phone and computer logs or any other documents which contain statements which relate in any way to the within Plaintiffs and/or the complained of incident.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

See Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 5.

¹ Plaintiff's First Set of Document Demands does not contain a Request No. 6.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

Provide true copies of any and all accident/incident reports for any officer responding to the scene of the complained of incidents.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

See Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 5.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

Provide true copies of any and all police logs, memos, letters, e-mails, phone and computer logs or accident/incident reports that relate to the arrest.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

See Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 5.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

Provide a true copy of any documents associated with the employment applications of any officer present at the complained of incident (hereinafter the "responding officers") to defendant The City of New York.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 12 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, confusing, overbroad, unduly burdensome, harassing, not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, constitutes an unwarranted invasion of privacy, implicates the privacy rights of non-parties, and to the extent that it calls for information protected by the deliberative process, official information and/or law enforcement privileges, and/or HIPAA and the doctor-patient privilege. Defendants further object to this request on the grounds that it calls for production of documents of a private and sensitive nature that are unrelated to the police officers' performance of their duties.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

Provide a true copy of any performance reviews of the responding officers.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 13 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, confusing, overbroad, premature, unduly burdensome, harassing, not sufficiently limited in time and scope, may implicate HIPAA, seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and to the extent it implicates the privacy and security concerns of parties and non-parties to this action. Notwithstanding and without waiving or in any way limiting these objections or the General Objections, and, subject to the execution of an appropriate Stipulation and Protective Order, defendants will produce performance evaluations for the individually-named defendants herein pre-dating the incident in question.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

Provide a true copy of any documents relating to the personnel and disciplinary files of the responding officers.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 14 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, confusing, overbroad, unduly burdensome, harassing, not limited in time or scope, not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, constitutes an unwarranted invasion of privacy, implicates the privacy rights of non-parties, to the extent it implicates the sealing provisions of N.Y.C.P.L. § 160.50, §160.55, and/or F.C.A. § 375.1, and to the extent that it calls for information protected by the deliberative process, official information and/or law enforcement privileges. Defendants further object to this request on the grounds that it calls for production of documents of a private and sensitive nature and implicates HIPAA.

Defendants also object to the extent that this request calls for information and/or the production of documents reflecting charges of misconduct that were not substantiated or did not result in a finding of wrongdoing, that do not involve allegations of a similar nature or false statement, and to the extent it seeks information that predates the incident by more than 10 years or which occurred subsequent to the incident. Notwithstanding and without waiving or in any way limiting these objections or the General Objections, defendants will produce, subject to the execution of an appropriate Stipulation and Protective Order, information on substantiated allegations of a nature similar to those alleged in the Complaint or that involve allegations of false statements, for each of the individually-named defendant officers for a period of ten years prior to the date of the incident.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

Provide true copies of any and all Civil Complaint Review Board records and documents relating to the responding officers.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

See Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 14.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

Provide true copies of any and all Internal Affairs records and documents relating to the responding officers.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

See Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 14.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

Provide true copies of any and all materials regarding the responding officers prepared by or for the NYPD's Performance Monitoring Unite (PMU), or held in PMU files.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

See Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 14.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

Provide a true copy of any document which reflects phone conversations by any employee, agent, servant and/or officer of the City of New York and/or any of its representatives, employees, agents, servants and/or officers regarding the Plaintiff.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

See Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 5.

Notwithstanding and without waiving or in any way limiting these objections or the General Objections, upon information and belief, there are no documents responsive to this request.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

Provide true copies of any surveillance video and/or audio recording present at the precinct during Plaintiffs' detention that captures Plaintiffs' image or voice.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

<u>See</u> Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 5.

Notwithstanding and without waiving or in any way limiting these objections or the General Objections, upon information and belief, there are no documents responsive to this request.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

Provide a description of where any surveillance video and audio recording devices are located.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

See Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 19.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

Provide true copies of any photographs taken of Plaintiff at the precinct and at Central Booking.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 21 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, harassing, and assumes facts not true or established. Defendants also object to the extent that it calls for information that is not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Notwithstanding, and without waiving or in any way limiting, these objections or the General Objections, upon information and belief, there are no documents responsive to this request.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

Provide true copies of any photographs of Plaintiff taken by any employee, agent, servant and/or officer of the defendant The City of New York during the complained of incident.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

See Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 21.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

Provide the name, address, shield # and precinct of any officer who defendants believe were present at the time and location of the Plaintiff's arrest or otherwise involved in the arrest and/or subsequent investigation.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 23 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, confusing, overbroad, unduly burdensome, harassing, and duplicative. Defendants also object to the extent that it calls for information that is not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Notwithstanding and without waiving or in any

way limiting these objections or the General Objections, defendants refer plaintiffs to Defendants' Rule 26(a)(1)(A) Initial Disclosures, dated May 12, 2015. Defendants are continuing to search for information responsive to Plaintiff's request and therefore reserve the right to supplement their responses to each request with additional information, if and when such information becomes available. Defendants also reserve the right to object to the future disclosure of any such information.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

Provide a true copy of any chief of department file relating to the incident.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 24 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, confusing, harassing, assumes facts not established, and to the extent that it calls for information that is not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Notwithstanding and without waiving or in any way limiting these objections or the General Objections, upon information and belief, there are no documents responsive to this request.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

Produce a copy of the OLPA form created as a result of the arrest of Plaintiff.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 25 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, confusing, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Notwithstanding and without waiving or in any way limiting these objections or the General Objections, defendants refer plaintiffs to Defendants' Rule 26(a)(1)(A) Initial Disclosures, dated May 12, 2015, and the documents annexed thereto bearing Bates Stamp No. DEF0023-DEF0024.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

Produce any use of force report generated as a result of the within incident.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 26 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, confusing, overbroad, unduly burdensome, harassing, not relevant, not sufficiently calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and assumes facts not true or established. Notwithstanding and without waiving or in any way limiting these objections or the General Objections, upon information and belief, there are no documents responsive to this request.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27:

Produce any complaints, allegations, or lawsuits relating to claims of false arrest involving the 77th Precinct within five years of the date of the within incident, either before or after.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 27 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, confusing, overbroad, unduly burdensome, harassing, not sufficiently limited in time and scope, to the extent that it seeks documents which are publicly available, and to the extent it seeks documents that are not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Defendants also object to this request to the extent that it calls for information protected by the attorney-client, work product, deliberative process, official information, law enforcement, and self-critical analysis privileges, calls for information not in the custody and control of Defendants, implicates the privacy rights of parties and non-parties and/or the sealing provisions of N.Y.C.P.L. § 160.50, § 160.55, and F.C.A. § 375.1, to the extent that it calls for information concerning allegations of misconduct which were not substantiated or

did not result in a finding of wrongdoing, seeks documents and/or information concerning non-party officers, and to the extent it seeks information which occurred subsequent to the incident. Defendants further object to the extent this request calls for the production of documents relating to a purported municipal liability claim on the ground that Plaintiff has failed to state a such a claim for relief and thus any documents or information pertaining to this claim are not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27:2

Provide the names and addresses of any officers or other employees of the City of New York who witnessed the incident, including any Emergency Medical Technicians.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27:

See Defendants' Objection and Response to Document Request No. 23.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 28:

Provide the names and addresses of any officers or other employees of the City of New York that Defendants intend to call at trial.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 28:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 28 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, confusing, overbroad, unduly burdensome, harassing, and premature.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 29:

Provide all transcripts of any 911 calls made relating to the incident.

OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 29:

Defendants object to Document Request No. 29 on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, confusing, and assumes facts not true or established. Notwithstanding and without

² Plaintiff's First Set of Document Demands contains two Requests numbered "27."

waiving or in any way limiting these objections or the General Objections, defendants refer plaintiffs to Defendants' Rule 26(a)(1)(A) Initial Disclosures, dated May 12, 2015, and the documents annexed thereto bearing Bates Stamp No. DEF000014-DEF000020.

Dated: New York, New York August 28, 2015

ZACHARY W. CARTER
Corporation Counsel of the City of New York
Attorney for Defendants City of New York,
Clark, and Montefusco
100 Church Street
New York, New York 10007
(212) 356-2347

By: _____ Matthew Bridge Assistant Corporation Counsel

To: VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

Law Office of David A. Zelman David A. Zelman, Esq. 612 Eastern Parkway Brooklyn, NY 11225 Attorney for Plaintiff

PD 244-150 (Ray, 12-99)-Pent-RMU	Oat	1/16	114	
TION 1 - TO BE COMPLETED BY M.Y.P.O.			Now Sex	11,
mer's Name (Last, First M.I.) (Print)			The state of the s	life
Thompson Larry	Zip Code	Apt	Telephone No.	00 0 7/
729 Lincoln More	11238	12E	917 88	9 5076
	Shield No.	Tax Reg, N	o. Commune	1
esting Rank (Print) Name (Last, 1132 miles)				
icer: Charge C A				
ent No.				
Signature	Shleid No.	Tax Reg. N	200 March 1980	
Rocer Park (Print) Name (Last, Print)	13744	19449	48 07	/
1 At A Pladence Returnet Modical Aid Date / I imme	Prisoner's S	gnature		
Yes No I	0 1	Consuler Dust	(Print) Nume (La	st. Pirst. M.L.)
ansported in Hospital (Nerre) Date Time Vis Patrol RMP#	80518059	Operator (series	Salla Co	
1 L C 10 He Mal 1/6/M 0815 Wagon#	PCR#	b	if injury	
reprod From Hospital / Amempted Suicide Nature Of Binasa/Injury	100 000	ins	D Old	X New
the time	es, Respondent Rar	(Print) Name (I	ast, First, M.L.)	1
estraining Devices Used	ies, ixempanamino			
YES TYPE TEL SPACIOLES I LUTTO I NO IN THE FINE	armacy / Phone No.	Pro	perty Clark Invoice	e No./Cind.
rescription Medicargon D Year Prescription Number And Harris Of California	#034028(A2)			
ossessed At Arreli D No.				
emarks: 100 Busin Busin	110h	(M) 11	wide	1000
clo new your wwo isale	,			
X len of shortder or	· of cs	one	XX	7
2 reg 3/ sporter, of				
	(m
The state of the state of the	huch.	¥		9-2
a of us showed to	fruits.	Y		
a of us showing to	huch.			
a of us showing to	huche.			
a of us showing to	huch.	¥		
of us showing to	fruits.	¥		7 8
of us showing to	fruitre.	1		7 0
of us showing to	fruitse.	1		
202	fruite.	Transminant	Prizonar Br Si	soaraled From
20.2	edical Ald	Recommend General Pop	Prisoner Be Se ulation □ Ye	parated From
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid	ection DYes D No	General Pop	Prisoner Be Se ulation □ Ye I Refer To Masp	parated From s I No
202	ection DYes D No	General Pop	Refer to Hosp	mi Emergency
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused M Within The Court Se E.M.S. Print Name (Last, First, M. I.) Shield #	ection DYes D No	General Pop	Refer to Hosp	E I No No No No No
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused M Prisoner Refused M Prisoner Refused M No Prisoner Refused M Prisoner Refused M Within The Court Se M. S. Print Name (Last, First, M. I.) Shield # Prisoner Refused M Within The Court Se Print Name (Last, First, M. I.) Shield # Prisoner Refused M Prisoner Refused M Within The Court Se Print Name (Last, First, M. I.) Shield # Prisoner Refused M Prisoner Refused M Within The Court Se Print Name (Last, First, M. I.) Shield # Prisoner Refused M Pr	ection DYes D No	General Pop	Refer to Hosp	E No
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid	Detry 1/16/19	General Pop	Refer to Hosp Room SK Yes Refer to Hosp	s No but Emergency s No but Emergency
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid	Detry 1/16/19	General Pop	Refer to Hosp Room KYes Refer to Hosp Room D Yes	tol Emergency No hal Emergency
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Aid In The Field Yes No At The Command Yes No Within The Court Set E.M.S. Print Nume (Lest, First, M. I.) Shield # Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Aid No Within The Court Set E.M.S. Print Nume (Lest, First, M. I.) Signature NYPD Funk (Print) Name (Last, First, M. I.) Signature Supprisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Aid No Within The Court Set No Within The Court Set No Signature NYPD Funk (Print) Name (Last, First, M. I.) Signature NYPD Supprisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Aid No Within The Court Set No Within The Court Set No Signature No Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Aid No No Within The Court Set No Shield #	Detry 1/16/19	General Pop	Refer to Hosp Room KYes Refer to Hosp Room D Yes	tol Emergency No hal Emergency
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Aid In The Field Yes No At The Command Yes No Within The Court Settle Prist Name (Lest First, M. I.) Shield # Personnel Prist Name (Lest First, M. I.) Signature Supervisori Park (Print) Name (Last First, M. I.) Signature Supervisori Desc Officer Print Name (Last First, M. I.) Signature Supervisori Print Name (Last First, M. I.) Supervisori Supervisori Print Name (Last First, M. I.) Supervisori Supervisori Supervisori Supervisori Supervisori	Daty ///6//	General Pop	Refer to Hosp Room JK Yes Room J Yes Roler to Hosp Room D Yes	E C No test Emergency No not Emergency Time
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Aid In The Field Yes No At The Command Yes No Within The Court Settle Prist Name (Last, First, M. I.) Shield # Personnel Prist Name (Last, First, M. I.) Signature Supervisori Deak Officer Supervisori Deak Officer Section To BE COMPLETED BY HOSPITAL MEDICAL STAFF	Cand Of Arra	General Pop	Relation Ye Relat To Hosp Room PK Yes Relat To Hosp Room D Ye Oate Madication To Be	tal Emergency No hal Emergency Time
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid	Daty ///6//	General Pop	Reter to Hosp Room PK Yes Reter To Hosp Room P Yes Reter To Hosp Room D Yes Date Medication To Be	No Emergency No No No Emergency Time
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid	Cand Of Arra	General Pop	Relation Ye Relat To Hosp Room PK Yes Relat To Hosp Room D Ye Oate Madication To Be	tal Emergency No hal Emergency Time
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid	Cand Of Arm	General Pop	Ulation Ye Refer To Hosp Room JRZ Ye Refer To Hosp Room JRZ Ye Oate Medication To Be Prescribed	Takon Az
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid	Cand Of Arra	General Pop	Valentin	tal Emergency No hal Emergency Time
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Staff Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Aid	Cand Di America Medicate Title	General Pop Time O 8710 Time O	Ulaffor □ Ye Refer To Hosp Room 364 Ye Refer To Hosp Room 364 Ye Refer To Hosp Room 574 Ye Date Medication To Be Prescribed □	Takon A: Yes - II No
Prisoner Refused Medical Ald Prisoner Refused Medical Aid In The Field Yes No At The Command Yes No Within The Court Settle All No At The Command Yes No Within The Court Settle At The Command Yes No Within The Court Section First, M. I.) Signature Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Within The Court Settle At The Command Yes No Within The Court Section No No Within The Court Section First, M. I.) Signature Signature Signature Yes Prio No Hospital Suicide Watch Recommended By Transfer to Psychiatric Hospital Recommended Prior Psychiatric Hospital Medical Staff Yes Prior Refer To Psychiatric Hospital Medical Staff Yes Medicallon To Travel With Prisoner Refer To Psychiatric Hospital Medical Staff Yes No Yes IP No Signature Signature Yes IP No Signature Signature Yes IP No Signature Signature	Cand Di America Medicate Title	General Pop	Valentin	Taken As Yes D No
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid Prisoner Refused Medical Staff Prist Name (Lest, First, M. I.) Signature Section Print Name (Lest, First, M. I.) Signature Section II - To BE COMPLETED BY HOSPITAL MEDICAL STAFF Signature Print Name Pri	Cand Di America Medicate Title	General Pop Time O STIC Time Section Section Section	Visition Ye Refer to Hosp Recom 364 Ye Recom 36	Takon As Yes D No
Prisoner Refused Medical Aid In The Field	Cand Di America Medicate Title	General Pop Time O 8710 Time O	Visition Ye Refer to Hosp Recom 364 Ye Recom 36	Taken As Yes D No

(Receipt will be obtained by Escorting Officer on PINK COPY and returned to COURT SEC remove BUFF COPY from FILE and forward It to COMMAND OF ARREST FOR FILE.) NOTE: A PHOTO COPY OF THIS FORM MAY BE PROVIDED UPON REQUEST TO HEALTH AND HOSPITALS CORPORATION (HHC) PERSONNEL

Case 1:14-cv-07349-JBW-RML Document 57-12 Filed 04/07/17 Page 17 of 19 PageID #: 765

NYPD WARRANT FILE

EXACT NAME SEARCH PAGE: 1

THOMPSON LARRY B'M 5"06" 185LBS EYES BRO HAIR BLK 1972-08-30

339 LINCOLN PLACE BROOKLYN NY

CLOSED OCA C2000105025 NYSID 08331355L

CALL CWD 718-353-1171 DOCKET 99N049861

THOMPSON LARRY B M 5"06" 175LBS EYES BRO HAIR BLK 1972-08-30

339 LINCOLN PLACE BROOKLYN NY APT 2E

CLOSED OCA A2005215863

CALL CWD 718-353-1171 DOCKET 2005SK056827

THOMPSON LARRY U M EYES XXX HAIR XXX 1972-08-30

339 LINCOLN PLACE BROOKLYN NY

CLOSED OCA A2002227706

CALL CWD 718-353-1171 DOCKET 2002SK085536

** REPORT END

PF7 - SCROLL BACK PF8 - SCROLL FRWD CLEAR - EXIT

DESK APPEARANCE PD 360-091 (Rev. 05-09		TIGATION				
DAT SERIAL NUMBER	ARREST NUM	BER	DATE OF ARREST		OP CHARGE	
K	1460435	7-2	01/15/14	ENDANGERY OF a	hord "	nelfa L
ARRESTING OFFICER INFORMA	MATION		Tax Registry Number	Command		Shield
RO LLARK			953759	0165		28472
Department (If Other Than NYPD)						
ARRESTEE INFORMATION						
Last Name	First Na		M.I.		Date of Birth	
Thompson	Apt No.	City	County		08/30/ State	1973 Zip Code
Street	•	500C 50I	take at		Lou	A. A. A.
1339 Lincohi	o pe	134	How Long at Address	48	N	11213
th Gare Of	L	/1		Months	Days	
Defendant's Driver License Numbel	Social	Security Number	Years	Other Identification (ly		
971 198 002		-		No. of Section Section 1		
Defendant Currently Employed	Name, Address,	and Telephone of	of Employer			
part-time full-time	On.	Jac e	ervil6			
Defendant Currently in School	Name, Address,	and Telephone	of School			
part-time full-time		unhuori	V	v - 10		
Social Status Single Separated	Divorced	☐ Widowed	☐ Married	Living together		
Lives with (check all that apply) Alone Parents	Spouse	☐ Friend	☐ Children ,	☑ Common-Law		Other: (specify)
(For all categories except children in Name		gth of time)	Length of Time			
Aliases						
Has a co-delendant?	Co-defenda	int Name	Co-defendant Re		AT Serial No.	
Yes No			Yes	[] No		
GONTACT INFORMATION Home Phone Number		Ho	ome Contact Name / Relationsh	nip		
Area Gode (417) \$89 - 50	076		Tallyla wa.	1100 /	Ce	
Additional Contact Phone Number Area Code ()			ditional Contact Name / Relation			
It given a DAT, will someone go to arraign Yes No	ment with you? Don't Know	lf y	ves, Name / Relationship			
WARRANT INFORMATION						
Warrant Checked by	Time	ſ	arrant Outstanding? Yes 🛛 No	Warrant 1	ype / Number	
P.O. Cobias	0118					EF27

VERIFICATION INFO	RMATION			
	Item Ve	rified	Source of Verifica	dien
Defendant Address:	□ No	⊠ Yes	Dhone Contact	☐ Arresting Officer ☐ ID type: 971 1 4 8 00 2
Telephone:	□ No	☑ Yes	☐ Phone Contact	
Employment/School:	□ No	☐ Yes	☐ Phone Contact	☐ Arresting Officer ☐ ID type:
Desk Appearance Ticket	Issued		☐ Yes	⊠ No
Eligibility Failure, but DA	Tissued an	yway (reason)		
DAT Serial Number		Return Date		Return Time
REASONS DAT WAS	DENIED			
1 OUTSTANDING 2 RESIDES OUT				11 DESIGNATED RECIDIVIST PROGRAM (attach copy of FINEST warrant check)
2 RESIDES OUT 3 UNABLE TO V				☐ 12 DESIGNATED VEHICLE OFFENSE AS PER PATROL GUIDE AND INTERIM ORDER
☐ 4 PHOTOGRAPI		FFENSE		☐ 13 VIOLATION OF AN ORDER OF PROTECTION <u>OR</u> IMMEDIATE NEED TO SECURE ONE
6 UNABLE TO V			RIHUANA 4th or 5th	☐ 14 HARASSMENT 1st OR MENACING 2nd, STALKING OFFENSES
DEGREE	CHIMINA	L SALE OF MA	AIIIOANA 40101301	☐ 15 CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, MALTREATMENT
			MARIHUANA TO ER HIMSELF OR	16 GRAFFITI OFFENSES
OTHERS	THAT HE	WAT ENDANG	CITTIMOLLI OII	17 CRIMINAL TRESPASS 3rd (Commercial Premises)
☐ 9 ASSAULT 3rd,	ATTEMP"	TED ASSAULT	3rd, MEANCING,	18 UNLAWFUL EVICTION
AGGRAVATED FNDANGERM	HARASS ENT 2nd I	MENT, RECKLI DEGREE WHEN	:SS I COMMITTED	☐ 19 RESISTING ARREST ☐ 20 OBSTRUCTING GOVERNMENTAL ADMINISTRATION
AGAINST A CI PERFORMING	TY/STATE	ENFORCEME	NT AGENT	2nd (Not Uncooperative Actions)
10 ARREST FOR VTL sec 1192			ING —	21 INTERFERENCE WITH PROFESSIONAL SPORTING EVENT (A.C. 10-162)
				22 OTHER: (specify)
FOR "E" FELONIES				
DEFENDANT WITH E-	-FELONY	ARREST CHAF	RGES MUST HAVE A	VERIFIED ADDRESS TO QUALIFY:
	Item Ve	rified	Source of Verifica	ntjon
Defendant Address:	☐ No	☐ Yes	☐ Phone Contact	Arresting Officer ID type:
IN ADDITION, TWO OF T	HE FOLLO	WING CRITERIA	MUST BE VERIFIED (in	ndicate):
LIVE	S AT CURF	RENT NYC ADDR	ESS FOR 18 MONTHS Phone Contact	OR LONGER Arresting Officer D ID type:
☐ EMP	LOYED, IN	SCHOOL, IN JO	B-TRAINING PROGRAN	A, OR ANY COMBINATION, FULL TIME Arresting Officer ID type:
☐ HON	ME TELEPH	ONE NUMBER Yes	☐ Phone Contact	☐ Arresting Officer ☐ ID type:
DESK OFFICER				
RANK NAME				COMMAND SIGNATURE
9/4	N	405		(1) hour
1111	101	W/		OII JOM
ARRAIGNMENT DAT Original Arraignment Dat		MATION (CJA		to (First) Changed to (Last)
Onginal Arraignment Dat	C.		Ottarigot	